EXHIBIT D

Declaration of Omar Gonzalez-Pagan in support of Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony of Dr. Paul W. Hruz *Kadel v. Folwell*, No. 1:19-cv-00272-LCB-LPA (M.D.N.C.)

Page 1

Fax: 314.644.1334

```
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
 2
                THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
 3
 4
    TERRI BRUCE,
 5
         Plaintiff,
 6
                           ) No. 17-5080
    VS.
 7
    STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA and )
    LAURIE GILL, in her official)
    capacity as Commissioner of )
10
    of the South Dakota Bureau )
11
    of Human Resources,
12
         Defendants.
13
DEPOSITION OF DR. PAUL W. HRUZ, M.D., Ph.D.
15
             TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
16
                       JULY 16, 2018
17
18
19
        (Starting time of the deposition: 8:49 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
                                            Exhibit
24
                                             0003
                                            9/29/2021
25
                                              Hruz
```

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

PAUL W. HRUZ, M.D., Ph.D. 7/16/2018

Page 2

Fax: 314.644.1334

_		age z
1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	QUESTIONS BY:	
4	Ms. Cooper	5
5		
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8		
9	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PA	AGE
10	Exhibit 1 Dr. Hruz Expert Declaration	40
11	Exhibit 2 Cross Sex Steroids Article	51
12	Exhibit 3 International Conference/Madrid Document	56
13	Exhibit 4 About The National Catholic Bioethics	
14	Quarterly	69
15	Exhibit 5 Declaration of Dr. Spack	84
16	Exhibit 6 Endocrine Treatment of Gender Dysphoria;	
17	Clinical Practice Guideline	223
18	Exhibit 7 Expert Declaration of Dr. Paul Hruz	
19	- Adams Case	262
20	Exhibit 8 National Catholic Certification Program	
21	in Health Care Ethics	293
22	(Original exhibits retained by the court reporter to	
23	be copied and attached to the transcript.)	
24		
25		

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 3

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
 2
                  THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
 3
 4
      TERRI BRUCE,
                                   )
 5
           Plaintiff,
 6
                                   ) No. 17-5080
      VS.
 7
      STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA and
 8
      LAURIE GILL, in her official)
 9
      capacity as Commissioner of )
10
      of the South Dakota Bureau )
11
      of Human Resources,
                                   )
12
            Defendants.
13
14
15
                Deposition of DR. PAUL W. HRUZ, M.D.,
16
         Ph.D., produced, sworn and examined on the 16th
17
         Day of July, 2018 between the hours of 9:00 a.m.
18
         and 5:00 p.m. at the offices of Alaris Litigation
19
         Services, 711 N. 11th Street, in the City of St.
20
         Louis, State of Missouri, before Rebecca Brewer,
21
         Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
22
         Realtime Reporter, Missouri Certified Shorthand
2.3
         Reporter, and Notary Public within and for the
24
         State of Missouri.
25
```

Page 4

Fax: 314.644.1334

	rage 1
1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
3	Ms. Leslie Cooper
4	Mr. Joshua A. Block
5	American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
6	125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
7	New York, New York, 10004
8	Lcooper@aclu.org
9	Jblock@aclu.org
10	UDIOCKEACIU.OIG
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
12	Mr. Jerry D. Johnson
13	Jerry Johnson Law Office
14	909 St. Joseph Street, Suite 800
15	Rapid City, South Dakota, 57701
16	Jdjbjck@aol.com
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Ms. Rebecca Brewer, RPR, CCR, CRR
22	Alaris Litigation Services
23	711 North Eleventh Street
24	St. Louis, Missouri, 63101
25	(314) 644-2191

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

1	clarify what you mean by formal education.
2	Q Well, I'll ask broadly; any kind of
3	training of any sort that a doctor would get in the
4	course of, you know, either their initial medical
5	education or continuing education.
6	A So, working at a major academic
7	institution, we're actually charged with providing
8	medical education and so, to the extent that we've
9	held journal clubs that we've had presentations with
10	my colleagues where we've discussed the scientific
11	evidence, where we've gone formally through the DSM
12	Guidelines, where we've gone through the Endocrine
13	Society Guidelines, that has been done at my
14	institution. Have I sought out and gone to a
15	separate conference related to gender dysphoria?
16	The answer is no.
17	Q But, at your own institution, you've
18	participated in these interactions, these journal
19	clubs and other activities that address gender
20	dysphoria and the treatment for gender dysphoria?
21	A That is a standard that is one of the
22	components of what we do for all the conditions that
23	endocrinologists are engaged in.
24	Q Okay. Have you conducted any research
25	related to gender dysphoria or the treatment of

1	gender dysphoria?
2	A No formal trials, no.
3	Q Any other research?
4	A I've been in the area of HIV research for
5	20 years and conducted a number of scientific
6	studies that but not directly related to gender
7	dysphoria.
8	Q Yeah, I'm sorry if I was unclear. I
9	didn't I know you've done research, but in the
10	area of gender dysphoria, no research, is that
11	right?
12	A I have not done any I'm not a clinical
13	trials physician scientist. I'm a bench scientist.
14	Q What does that mean?
15	A I conduct laboratory research, so I'm
16	engaged in hypothesis-driven research.
17	Q Okay. So, talking about research broadly,
18	you haven't conducted any form of research relating
19	to gender dysphoria, is that right?
20	A No, I have. I would consider research in
21	looking at the extensive literature that's there is
22	research. It's not a randomized controlled trial,
23	it's not a formal study, but that would fit within
24	the domain of research.
25	Q You mean reviewing research that was

1	published by other people? Is that what you mean?
2	A So, again, we can define research in many
3	different ways. If you're asking the question about
4	research, about gathering information, about the
5	evidence that's available, I've done a considerable
6	amount of research and that has consisted of looking
7	at what published data is available supporting the
8	recommendations that are being made. That I would
9	consider research, but it is not a clinical trial.
10	Q Okay. And what people might call studies,
11	scientific studies, have you done any scientific
12	studies?
13	A Again, how you define studies, again, I
14	have not done clinical trials.
15	Q Okay. When you were deposed in the Adams
16	case, November, I believe it was, last year, you
17	mentioned you were in the process of responding to a
18	research funding announcement by the NIH to do
19	research related to gender dysphoria or gender
20	identity issues. Did I get that right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Can you tell me the status of that?
23	A Yes. There are a number of logistical
24	issues that are needing to be worked out. There is
25	no funding for that particular study going on,

1 recruiting the people that are going to be necessary 2 to conduct that study, again, I'm a pediatric 3 endocrinologist. And to my knowledge, you know, 4 that hasn't moved much beyond the initial planning 5 The proposal itself was a suggestion to 6 address the question of -- a very particular 7 question of the effects of pubertal blockade on the trajectory as far as the number of individuals that 8 9 went on to cross hormone therapy and those that did 10 not. 11 So, did you ever submit a proposal to NIH 12 to do this research? 13 Α No. 14 Okay. Did you ever respond to the funding 15 announcement in any way? 16 Depends on how you say "respond." 17 already said I did not submit a proposal. I have 18 taken that to colleagues. In fact, I've had very 19 recent discussions with my colleague at Washington 20 University that is interested in starting some sort 21 of research effort. And I could speak at length of 22 what I've recommended to him as far as how these 2.3 studies should be conducted. I've been very 24 disappointed that the rigor -- scientific rigor 25 that's necessary for those studies is not currently

1 realignment of gender identity with sex that occurs 2 when people do not get pubertal blockade, to the 3 results of that particular -- again, it was a very 4 small study -- would lead to that being asked as a 5 hypothesis as to whether that intervention itself 6 might have been influencing the outcome. 7 0 So, just to make sure I'm clear, it is 8 still just a hypothesis that pubertal blockade could 9 lead to persistence? That's not been proven? 10 That is correct. And the opposite has not 11 been proven as well. 12 I understand. Okay. Let's take your 13 report from this case. Actually, before we turn to 14 that, I forgot to ask one other question. Do you 15 have experience conducting clinical trials on any 16 topic? 17 I've only been involved in one clinical 18 trial. It's a very small study and my role was very 19 minor. 20 And what was that topic? It was on the influence of insulin 21 22 sensitivity on cardiac function. 2.3 I see. So clinical trials isn't your area Q 24 of expertise? 25 That is correct. Α

1	the meeting was?
2	A He was trying to convene a meeting so we
3	could discuss the issues related to gender
4	dysphoria. There was they were searching for
5	somebody from the endocrine field that would be
6	willing to talk over the issues that I had expertise
7	in, that I had developed my understanding of what
8	the literature showed, and he specifically said,
9	You've got expertise in this area and we'd like to
10	learn.
11	Q And did they talk about a need to develop
12	expert witnesses for litigation?
13	A You know, I think that was implicit. I
14	don't think that was I mean, I was not surprised
15	when I was asked to serve as an expert. I'd
16	actually submitted a declaration prior to that
17	meeting. And I'm not sure exactly how that any
18	of the details how I was asked to do that, but so I
19	had already done some of the work there, so I made
20	the assumption that that was one of the reasons why
21	he invited me down.
22	Q Okay. So, the folks there were people who
23	would potentially be expert witnesses in litigation?
24	A Not everyone that was there. I think
25	there were people that explicitly said, I'm not